arXiv:1603.05613v1 [math.AG] 17 Mar 2016

SCHREIEDER'S SURFACES ARE ELLIPTIC MODULAR

LAURE FLAPAN

Abstract. We consider algebraic surfaces, recently constructed by Schreieder, which are smooth models of the quotient of the self-product of a complex hyperelliptic curve by a $\mathbb{Z}/3^c\mathbb{Z}$-action. We show that these surfaces are elliptic modular surfaces attached to a particular subgroup of index $6\cdot 3^c$ in $SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$. In particular, this implies that Schreieder's surfaces are extremal elliptic surfaces, meaning they have maximal Picard rank and Mordell-Weil rank 0. In fact, we show they have Mordell-Weil group $\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$.

1. Introduction

For any $c \geq 1$ we consider a complex hyperelliptic curve C_g of genus $g = \frac{3^c-1}{2}$, equipped with a $\mathbb{Z}/3^c\mathbb{Z}$-action. We may then equip the product $C_g \times C_g$ with a $\mathbb{Z}/3^c\mathbb{Z}$-action. In [17], Schreieder constructs smooth complex projective surfaces X_c as smooth models of the quotient of $C_g \times C_g$ by this $\mathbb{Z}/3^c\mathbb{Z}$-action.

These surfaces have irregularity $q = 0$ and geometric genus $p_g = g$. Moreover, the subspace $H^{1,1}(X_c)$ of $H^2(X_c, \mathbb{C})$ is generated by algebraic classes, so these surfaces X_c satisfy the Hodge Conjecture and have maximal Picard rank. Schreieder's surfaces X_c thus give examples of surfaces with arbitrarily large geometric genus having maximal Picard number. This adds to the recent examples of Picard-maximal surfaces given by Beauville in [2] and Arapura-Solapurkar in [1].

Additionally, Schreieder's surfaces X_c come equipped with an automorphism ϕ_c of order 3^c that fixes the elements of $H^{1,1}(X_c)$. Because all the elements of $H^{1,1}(X_c)$ are algebraic, the transcendental part of $H^2(X_c, \mathbb{Q})$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$-Hodge structure. The automorphism ϕ_c induces an endomorphism of this Hodge structure, which implies that this Hodge structure is of CM type.

For $c = 1$, the surface X_1 has been studied rather extensively. In particular, Shioda and Inose show in [19, Lemma 5.1] that X_1 is a K3 surface and they study the elliptic fibration of X_1 induced by the projection $C_1 \times C_1 \to C_1$ onto the first factor. They show that this fibration has Mordell-Weil group $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$. Additionally, Cynk and Hulek prove in [7, Theorem 3.3] that the surface X_1 is modular.

Therefore, for the purposes of this paper, we investigate the geometry of the surfaces X_c for $c \geq 2$. A careful study of the Iitaka fibration of these surfaces leads to the following main result. For a particular non-congruence subgroup Γ_c of index $6 \cdot 3^c$ in $SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$ we have:

Theorem 9.2. *For $c \geq 2$, the surface X_c is the elliptic modular surface attached to Γ_c.*

In particular, we show that the surfaces X_c are elliptic surfaces fibered over $\mathbb{P}^1$ and that they are extremal, meaning that they have both maximal Picard number and Mordell-Weil group of rank 0. We investigate the fibration $f : X_c \to \mathbb{P}^1$ in detail, determining its singular fibers precisely, in order to show it has Mordell-Weil group isomorphic to the cyclic group $\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$.

1.1. Organization.

The organization of the paper is as follows.

In Section 2, we present the details of the construction of the surfaces X_c. Section 3 consists of the calculation that the surfaces X_c have Kodaira dimension 1. In Section 4, we show that the Iitaka fibration of these surfaces has base $\mathbb{P}^1$. Then Section 5 contains a careful study of the elliptic

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14C30, 14F45, 14J27.

Key words and phrases. elliptic modular surface, elliptic surface, Picard number, Mordell-Weil group.

fibration $f : X_c \to \mathbb{P}^1$ induced by the Iitaka fibration of X_c. In Section 6 we show that $f : X_c \to \mathbb{P}^1$ is an extremal elliptic surface. In Section 7 we prove that $f : X_c \to \mathbb{P}^1$ has Mordell-Weil group $\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$. Section 8 examines the j-invariant of $f : X_c \to \mathbb{P}^1$. Finally Section 9 proves that the surface X_c is, in fact, an elliptic modular surface.

1.2. **Acknowledgements.** Thanks to my advisor, Burt Totaro, for his help and guidance. Thank you also to Christopher Lyons for his insights and discussions and to Jaclyn Lang for helpful conversations. The author is supported by NSF graduate fellowship DGE-1144087.

2. Construction of X_c

We begin by presenting the details of the construction of the surface X_c.

For a fixed $c \geq 2$ consider the complex hyperelliptic curve C_g of genus $g = \frac{3^c-1}{2}$ given by a smooth projective model of the affine curve

$$\{y^2 = x^{2g+1} + 1\}.$$

The curve C_g is obtained from this affine curve by adding a point at ∞, which is covered by an affine piece

$$\{v^2 = u^{2g+2} + u\},$$

where $x = u^{-1}$ and $y = v \cdot u^{-g-1}$.

Consider the automorphism ψ_g on C_g of order 3^c given by, for ζ a primitive 3^c-th root of unity,

$$(x, y) \mapsto (\zeta \cdot x, y)$$

$$(u, v) \mapsto (\zeta^{-1} \cdot u, \zeta^g v)$$

Then, consider the product $C_g \times C_g$ and the automorphism of $C_g \times C_g$ given by $\psi_g^{-1} \times \psi_g$. Define the surface X_c to be the minimal resolution of the quotient $(C_g \times C_g)/\langle \psi_g^{-1} \times \psi_g \rangle$.

Observe that the fixed set of the action of ψ_g on C_g consists of 3 points. In the coordinate patch given by $\{y^2 = x^{2g+1} + 1\}$ we have two fixed points:

$$P_1 : (x, y) = (0, 1) \text{ and } P_2 : (x, y) = (0, -1).$$

In the coordinate patch given by $\{v^2 = u^{2g+2} + u\}$, we have a third fixed point:

$$Q : (u, v) = (0, 0).$$

Hence, the fixed set of $\psi_g^{-1} \times \psi_g$ on $C_g \times C_g$ is 9 points consisting of pairs of points taken from the set $\{P_1, P_2, Q\}$. The surface X_c is then obtained from $(C_g \times C_g)/\langle \psi_g^{-1} \times \psi_g \rangle$ by resolving these 9 singular points.

Now using the Implicit Function Theorem one may verify that the coordinate x is a local coordinate in the coordinate patch on C_g given by $\{y^2 = x^{2g+1} + 1\}$ and the coordinate v is a local coordinate in the coordinate patch on C_g given by $\{v^2 = u^{2g+2} + u\}$. Hence ψ_g acts with weight 1 around P_1 and P_2 and acts with weight g around Q.

Thus the $\mathbb{Z}/3^c\mathbb{Z}$-action of $\psi_g^{-1} \times \psi_g$ on $C_g \times C_g$ has weights $(-1, 1)$ around fixed points of the form (P_i, P_j), weights $(-1, g)$ around fixed points of the form (P_i, Q), weights $(-g, 1)$ around fixed points of the form (Q, P_i), and weights $(-g, g)$ around the fixed point (Q, Q).

We will call the 5 fixed points on which $\psi_g^{-1} \times \psi_g$ has weights $(-1, 1)$ or $(-g, g)$ the *Type I fixed points* of $C_g \times C_g$ and the 4 fixed points on which $\psi_g^{-1} \times \psi_g$ has weights $(-1, g)$ or $(-g, 1)$ the *Type II fixed points* of $C_g \times C_g$.

3. The Kodaira Dimension of X_c is 1

For a smooth algebraic variety V and any $m > 0$, the *m-th plurigenus* of V is given by $P_m = h^0(V, K_V^{\otimes m})$. The *Kodaira dimension* κ of V is $-\infty$ if $P_m = 0$ for all $m > 0$ and otherwise it is the minimum κ such that P_m/m^κ is bounded. If V has dimension d, then the Kodaira dimension of V is either $-\infty$ or an integer $0 \leq \kappa \leq d$.

Now Kodaira dimension is a birational invariant. Therefore we may compute the Kodaira dimension of X_c by computing the Kodaira dimension of any smooth model of $(C_g \times C_g)/\langle \psi_g^{-1} \times \psi_g \rangle$. In fact, we compute the Kodaira dimension of the smooth model Y_c, constructed by Schreieder in [17, Section 8] and detailed below.

3.1. Construction of Y_c.

Consider the group

$$H := \langle \psi_g^{-1} \times \mathrm{id}, \mathrm{id} \times \psi_g \rangle \subset \mathrm{Aut}(C_g \times C_g)$$

Now for each $i = 1, \ldots, c$ define the element of order 3^i in H:

$$\eta_i := (\psi_g^{-1} \times \psi_g)^{3^{c-i}}$$

The element η_i generates a cyclic subgroup $G_i := \langle \eta_i \rangle \subset H$, which gives a filtration:

$$0 = G_0 \subset G_1 \subset \cdots \subset G_c = \langle \psi_g^{-1} \times \psi_g \rangle$$

such that each quotient G_i/G_{i-1} is cyclic of order 3. Now, let

$$\begin{aligned} Y_0 &= C_g \times C_g, \\ Y_0' &= \text{Blow up of } Y_0 \text{ along } \mathrm{Fix}_{Y_0}(\eta_1), \\ Y_0'' &= \text{Blow up of } Y_0' \text{ along } \mathrm{Fix}_{Y_0'}(\eta_1), \end{aligned}$$

observing that since H restricts to an action on $\mathrm{Fix}_{Y_0}(\eta_1)$, the action of H on Y_0 lifts to an action on Y_0' and then similarly to an action on Y_0''.

Now inductively define for $i \in \{1, \ldots, c\}$:

$$\begin{aligned} Y_i &= Y_{i-1}''/\langle \eta_i \rangle, \\ Y_i' &= \text{Blow up of } Y_i \text{ along } \mathrm{Fix}_{Y_i}(\eta_{i+1}), \\ Y_i'' &= \text{Blow up of } Y_i' \text{ along } \mathrm{Fix}_{Y_i'}(\eta_{i+1}), \end{aligned}$$

where, by abuse of notation, we let $\langle \eta_i \rangle$ denote the subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(Y_{i-1}'')$ generated by the action of $\eta_i \in H$. Namely we have the following diagram:



where each arrow to the left corresponds to a sequence of two blow-up maps and each arrow to the right corresponds to a $3:1$ cover. Each quotient $Y_{i+1} = Y_i''/\langle \eta_{i+1} \rangle$ is then a smooth model of Y_0/G_{i+1}. Namely, Y_c is the desired smooth model of $(C_g \times C_g)/G_c$.

So, in order to compute the Kodaira dimension of X_c, we will in fact compute the Kodaira dimension of Y_c. Namely, we are interested in the plurigenera $P_m = h^0(Y_c, K_{Y_c}^{\otimes m})$ for $m > 0$. To compute these P_m, we will use information about the canonical bundle of $C_g \times C_g$ together with the explicit construction of Y_c detailed above. Hence, we begin by establishing how the vanishing of forms changes under blow-ups and quotients.

3.2. **Forms Under Quotients.** Recalling the notation from the construction of Y_c in Section 3.1, consider the $3:1$ cover maps $f_i : Y_i'' \to Y_{i+1}$. The Riemann-Hurwitz formula gives:

$$K_{Y_i''} = f_i^* \left(K_{Y_{i+1}} + \sum_{D \in \mathrm{Div}(Y_{i+1})} \frac{a-1}{a} D \right) \tag{1}$$

where a is the order of the group fixing the divisors in Y_i'' mapping to D under f_i. However, by construction, the group G_{i+1}/G_i acting on Y_i'' is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$. Namely for every irreducible divisor $D \in \mathrm{Div}(Y_{i+1})$, either $a = 1$ or $a = 3$. Moreover, the irreducible D for which $a = 3$ are exactly the images of the irreducible components of the exceptional divisors E_i'' obtained from the blow-up map $Y_i'' \to Y_i'$, where it should be noted that it may happen that $E_i'' \cong E_i'$. Let $E_{i,1}'' \ldots, E_{i,k_i}''$ be the irreducible components of E_i''. Observe that since η_{i+1} fixes each of the $E_{i,j}''$, each component $E_{i,j}''$ descends to an irreducible divisor on Y_{i+1}. Equation (1) then yields:

$$K_{Y_i''}^{\otimes m} - \sum_{j=1}^{k_i} 2m E_{i,j}'' = f_i^* \left(K_{Y_{i+1}}^{\otimes m} \right). \tag{2}$$

For an algebraic surface V with a coordinate patch (z_1, z_2) having the standard action of $\mathbb{G}_m^2$ on $\mathbb{C}^2$, we say that a form ω is *toric* on the patch (z_1, z_2) if the divisor of zeros of ω on (z_1, z_2) is invariant under the action of $\mathbb{G}_m^2$.

Definition 3.1. A toric form ω on a coordinate patch (z_1, z_2) on an algebraic surface has *vanishing sequence* (β_1, β_2) on the point $(z_1, z_2) = (0, 0)$ if ω vanishes to order β_i along the hypersurface $z_i = 0$.

Now consider a G_{i+1}-invariant form σ on Y_i''. Suppose Y_i'' has local coordinates (z_1, z_2) around some $E_{i,j}''$ such that without loss of generality $E_{i,j}''$ is given by $z_1 = 0$ and $E_{i,j}''$ is fixed by the action of G_{i+1}.

Consider the point $R = (0, 0)$ on $E_{i,j}''$. Suppose the vanishing sequence of σ on R is (α_1, α_2).

Then, using Equation (2), the vanishing sequence of the pushforward of σ to Y_{i+1} has vanishing sequence on the image of R in Y_{i+1} given by:

$$\left(\frac{1}{3}(\alpha_1 - 2m), \alpha_2 \right). \tag{3}$$

3.3. **Forms Under Blow-Ups.** Let V be an algebraic surface and let σ be a global section of $K_V^{\otimes m}$. For local coordinates (z_1, z_2), consider the blow-up of V at the point $(0, 0)$.

Suppose σ has vanishing sequence (α_1, α_2) on the point $(0, 0)$. Namely σ is given locally by:

$$f(z_1, z_2)(dz_1 dz_2)^{\otimes m},$$

where f has vanishing sequence (α_1, α_2) on $(0, 0)$.

Then, blowing up V at $(0, 0)$ introduces new coordinates $[z_1' : z_2']$, with $z_1 z_2' = z_2 z_1'$. Then, on the coordinate patch of the blown-up variety V' given by $z_1' \neq 0$, we have coordinates (z_1, z_2'), where $z_2 = z_1 z_2'$. Thus, locally around the exceptional divisor E, the form σ pulls back to the form:

$$z_1^m f(z_1, z_1 z_2)(dz_1 dz_2')^{\otimes m}.$$

In the new coordinates (z_1, z_2'), consider the point $R = (0, 0)$. Then the vanishing sequence on R of the pullback of σ to V' is given by:

$$(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + m, \alpha_2). \tag{4}$$

3.4. **Kodaira Dimension Computation for X_c.**

Theorem 3.2. *For $c \geq 2$, the surface X_c has Kodaira dimension 1.*

Proof. Let σ be a global section of $K_{Y_0}^{\otimes m}$ with vanishing sequence (α_1, α_2) on the η_c-fixed point (Q, P_1) and with vanishing sequence (β_1, β_2) on the η_c-fixed point (P_1, Q).

Now, as discussed in Section 2, the automorphism η_c acts with weights $(-g, 1)$ around (Q, P_1). Thus η_c acts locally on the preimage E_0' of (Q, P_1) in $\mathrm{Fix}_{Y_0'}(\eta_c)$ with weights

$$(-g, g+1) \text{ and } (g, 1).$$

Hence η_c acts locally on the preimage E_0'' of (Q, P_1) in $\mathrm{Fix}_{Y_0''}(\eta_c)$with weights

$$(-g, 0), (0, g+1), (g, g+2), \text{ and } (-(g+2), 1).$$

In particular, there is a coordinate patch W_0'' on E_0'' on which η_c acts with weights $(-g, 0)$. In the coordinate patch W_0'', consider the point $R_0 = (0, 0)$.

By Equation (4) in Section 3.3, the image of σ on Y_0'' has vanishing sequence on R_0 given by:

$$(\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 2m, \alpha_2).$$

Let W_1 denote the image of W_0'' under the map $f_0 : Y_0'' \to Y_1$ and let Z_1 denote the image of E_0'' under f_0. Then, by Equation (3) in Section 3.2, the image of σ on Y_1 has vanishing sequence on $f_0(R_0)$ given by:

$$\left(\frac{1}{3}(\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2), \alpha_2\right).$$

Now observe that η_c acts with weights $(-g, 0)$ on W_1, meaning the fixed locus of the action of η_c on W_1 is all of Z_1. But Z_1 has codimension 1 in Y_1. Hence, locally on W_1, the blow up maps $Y_1'' \to Y_1' \to Y_1$ are isomorphisms. Namely, we have $W_1 \cong W_1' \cong W_1''$ and $Z_1 \cong Z_1' \cong Z_1''$, where η_c acts on W_1'' with weights $(-g, 0)$ and this action has fixed locus Z_1''. Then, if W_2 is the image of W_1'' under the map $f_1 : Y_1'' \to Y_2$ and Z_2 is the image of Z_1'' under f_0, then η_c acts on W_2 with weights $(-g, 0)$ and this action has fixed locus Z_2.

Inductively, define W_i to be the image of W_{i-1}'' under the map $f_{i-1} : Y_{i-1}'' \to Y_i$ and let Z_i be the image of Z_{i-1}'' under f_{i-1}. Then by induction, for all $1 \leq i \leq c-1$, we have that η_c acts on W_i with weights $(-g, 0)$ and this action has fixed locus Z_i. So we always have $W_i \cong W_i' \cong W_i''$ and $Z_i \cong Z_i' \cong Z_i''$.

Tracing the point R_0 through this sequence of blow-ups and quotients, consider the image of R_0 in Y_c. Inductively using Equations (3) and (4), the image of σ on Y_c has vanishing sequence on the image of R_0 given by:

$$\left(\frac{1}{3^c}(\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 - 3m(3^{c-1} - 1)), \alpha_2\right).$$

So, from Equation (14), for σ to correspond to a global section of $K_{X_c}^{\otimes m}$, we need:

$$\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 \geq 3m(3^{c-1} - 1) \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_2 \geq 0. \tag{5}$$

Symmetrically, since η_c acts with weights $(-1, g)$ around (P_1, Q), there is a coordinate patch $\tilde{W}_0''$ on the preimage of (P_1, Q) in $\mathrm{Fix}_{Y_0''}(\eta_c)$ on which η_c acts with weights $(0, g)$. In this coordinate patch $\tilde{W}_0''$, consider the point $\tilde{R}_0 = (0, 0)$.

Using Equation (4), the image of σ on Y_0'' has vanishing sequence on $\tilde{R}_0$ given by:

$$(\beta_1, 2\beta_1 + \beta_2 + 2m).$$

Thus, by Equation (3), the image of σ on Y_1 has vanishing sequence on $f_0(\tilde{R}_0)$ given by:

$$\left(\beta_1, \frac{1}{3}(2\beta_1 + \beta_2)\right)$$

in the coordinate patch $\tilde{W}_1 := f_0(\tilde{W}_0'')$.

Once again, considering the image of the point $\tilde{R}_0$ in Y_c, we have inductively, using Equations (3) and (4), that the image of σ on Y_c has vanishing sequence on the image of $\tilde{R}_0$ given by:

$$\left(\beta_1, \frac{1}{3^c}(2\beta_1 + \beta_2 - 3m(3^{c-1} - 1))\right).$$

Hence for σ to correspond to a global section of $K_{X_c}^{\otimes m}$, we need:

$$\beta_1 \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad 2\beta_1 + \beta_2 \geq 3m(3^{c-1} - 1). \tag{6}$$

We now make use of the following theorem of Köck and Tait:

Theorem 3.3. [9, Theorem 5.1] *Let C be a hyperelliptic curve of genus $g \geq 2$ of the form $y^2 = f(x)$ for some f and let $\omega \in K_C^{\otimes m}$ be given by $\omega = \frac{dx^{\otimes m}}{y^m}$. Then an explicit basis for $H^0(C, K_C^{\otimes m})$ is given by the following:*

$$\begin{cases} \omega, x\omega, \ldots, x^{g-1}\omega & \text{if } m = 1 \\ \omega, x\omega, x^2\omega & \text{if } m = 2 \text{ and } g = 2 \\ \omega, x\omega, \ldots, x^{m(g-1)}\omega; y\omega, xy\omega, \ldots, x^{(m-1)(g-1)-2}y\omega & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

Observe that for the purposes of computing Kodaira dimension, we may assume $m > 1$. Thus we consider global sections of $K_{C_g}^{\otimes m}$ of the form $x^a\omega$, where $0 \leq a \leq m(g-1)$ or of the form $x^a y\omega$, where $0 \leq a \leq (m-1)(g-1) - 2$.

Begin by considering the affine patch of C_g given by $\{y^2 = x^{2g+1} + 1\}$. We know the variable x is a local coordinate for C_g at the points P_1 and P_2. Since we have

$$\omega = \frac{dx^{\otimes m}}{y^m},$$

the form ω has order of vanishing equal to 0 at P_1 and P_2. Hence both forms $x^a\omega$ and $x^a y\omega$ have order of vanishing a at fixed points P_1 and P_2.

In (u, v)-coordinates, the form ω is given by:

$$\frac{(-1)^d u^{m(g-1)} du^{\otimes m}}{v^m}$$

Recall that v is a local coordinate near the point Q. The equation $v^2 = u^{2g+2} + u$ yields $2v \cdot dv = ((2g+2)u^{2g+1} + 1) \cdot du$. Namely, du and v vanish to the same order. Moreover, u has order of vanishing 2 with respect to v, hence the order of vanishing of ω at the point Q is $2m(g-1)$, which is equal to $3m(3^{c-1} - 1)$.

Hence a form $x^a\omega = u^{-a}\omega$ has order of vanishing at Q given by:

$$3m(3^{c-1}) - 2a$$

and a form $x^a y\omega = u^{-(a+g+1)}v\omega$ has order of vanishing at Q given by:

$$2m(g-1) - 2(a+g+1) + 1 = 3m(3^{c-1}) - 2a - 3^c.$$

Now, from Theorem 3.3, without loss of generality global sections of $K_{Y_0}^{\otimes m}$ are of three possible forms:

(1) $x_1^{a_1}\omega_1 \times x_2^{a_2}\omega_2$
(2) $x_1^{a_1}\omega_1 \times x_2^{a_2}y_2\omega_2$
(3) $x_1^{a_1}y_1\omega_1 \times x_2^{a_2}y_2\omega_2$.

Consider first the case of a global section σ of $K_{Y_0}^{\otimes m}$ of the form $x_1^{a_1}\omega_1 \times x_2^{a_2}\omega_2$. Then in the above notation we have:

$$(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) = (3m(3^{c-1} - 1) - 2a_1, a_2) \tag{7}$$

$$(\beta_1, \beta_2) = (a_1, 3m(3^{c-1} - 1) - 2a_2) \tag{8}$$

Then Equations (5) and (6) yield, after simplification, that we must have:

$$a_1 = a_2.$$

In the case of a global section σ of $K_{Y_0}^{\otimes m}$ of the form $x_1^{a_1}\omega_1 \times x_2^{a_2} y_2\omega_2$, we have:

$$(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) = (3m(3^{c-1} - 1) - 2a_1, a_2) \tag{9}$$

$$(\beta_1, \beta_2) = (a_1, 3m(3^{c-1} - 1) - 2a_2 - 3^c) \tag{10}$$

Then Equations (5) and (6) yield, after simplification, that we must have:

$$2a_2 \geq 2a_2 + 3^c,$$

which is impossible. So no such σ can exist.

Finally, in the case of a global section σ of $K_{Y_0}^{\otimes m}$ of the form $x_1^{a_1} y_1\omega_1 \times x_2^{a_2} y_2\omega_2$, we have:

$$(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) = (3m(3^{c-1} - 1) - 2a_1 - 3^c, a_2) \tag{11}$$

$$(\beta_1, \beta_2) = (a_1, 3m(3^{c-1} - 1) - 2a_2 - 3^c) \tag{12}$$

Then Equations (5) and (6) yield, after simplification, that we must have:

$$2a_2 \geq 2a_2 + 2 \cdot 3^c,$$

which is impossible. So no such σ can exist.

Therefore, any global section of $K_{X_c}^{\otimes m}$ must necessarily come from a G_c-invariant global section of $K_{Y_0}^{\otimes m}$ of the form:

$$x_1^a\omega_1 \times x_2^a\omega_2$$

for $0 \leq a \leq m(g-1)$.

Now observe that ψ_g sends the form ω to $\zeta^m\omega$. Hence the form $x_1^a\omega_1 \times x_2^a\omega_2$ is G_c-invariant if and only if $(-m-a) + (a+m) \equiv 0 \mod 3^c$, which is always the case.

Therefore, any global section of $K_{X_c}^{\otimes m}$ corresponds exactly to a form $x_1^a\omega_1 \times x_2^a\omega_2$ with $0 \leq a \leq m(g-1)$. This is a linear condition on m, therefore the Kodaira dimension of X_c is at most equal to 1.

However, by construction, we have $h^0(X_c, K_{X_c}) = h^{2,0} = g$. In particular, this means $h^0(X_c, K_{X_c})$ is greater than 1, so the Kodaira dimension of X_c is at least equal to 1. Hence, the Kodaira dimension of X_c is exactly equal to 1.

□

4. The Base of the Iitaka Fibration of X_c

By Theorem 3.2, the surface X_c has Kodaira dimension 1. Let $\mathbb{P}(H^0(X_c, K_{X_c}^{\otimes m}))$ denote the space of hyperplanes in $H^0(X_c, K_{X_c}^{\otimes m})$. Then the image of the Iitaka fibration of X_c

$$f : X_c \to \mathbb{P}(H^0(X_c, K_{X_c}^{\otimes m})),$$

given by sending a point x to its evaluation on a basis of global sections of $K_{X_c}^{\otimes m}$ for m sufficiently divisible (see [13, Theorem 2.1.33]), is a curve. We wish to identify exactly what this curve is.

Proposition 4.1. *For $c \geq 2$, the base of the Iitaka fibration of the surface X_c is $\mathbb{P}^1$.*

Proof. For m sufficiently divisible we have the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_c & \xrightarrow{\quad f \quad} & \mathbb{P}(H^0(X_c, K_{X_c}^{\otimes m})) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ C_g \times C_g & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{P}(H^0(C_g \times C_g, K_{C_g \times C_g}^{\otimes m})) \end{array}$$

The horizontal maps are the Iitaka fibrations associated to $K_{X_c}^{\otimes m}$ and $K_{C_g \times C_g}^{\otimes m}$ and the rational vertical map on the left is the sequence of blow-ups, blow-downs, and quotients needed to obtain X_c from $C_g \times C_g$.

In order to identify the base of the Iitaka fibration of X_c, we then only need to determine the image of the rational map given by the composition:

$$\alpha : C_g \times C_g \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}(H^0(X_c, K_{X_c}^{\otimes m})).$$

Now from the proof of Theorem 3.2, global sections of $K_{X_c}^{\otimes m}$ correspond to global sections of $K_{C_g \times C_g}^{\otimes m}$ of the form:

$$s_a = x_1^a \omega_1 \times x_2^a \omega_2,$$

for $0 \leq a \leq m(g-1)$. Thus we may view the map

$$\alpha : C_g \times C_g \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}(H^0(X_c, K_{X_c}^{\otimes m}))$$

as the rational map sending:

$$(z_1, z_2) \mapsto [s_0(z_1, z_2) : \cdots : s_{m(g-1)}(z_1, z_2)].$$

Say that $\mathbb{P}(H^0(X_c, K_{X_c}^{\otimes m}))$ has coordinates $[w_0 : \cdots : w_{m(g-1)}]$. Then on the affine patch of $\mathbb{P}(H^0(X_c, K_{X_c}^{\otimes m}))$ given by $w_0 \neq 0$, the image of α is of the form

$$(t, t^2, \ldots, t^{m(g-1)}),$$

where $t = x_1(z_1)x_2(z_2)$. Since the base of the Iitaka fibration of X_c is necessarily a normal projective variety [13, Theorem 2.1.33], the image of f must be isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^1$. □

5. The Elliptic Fibration $f : X_c \to \mathbb{P}^1$

From Theorem 3.2 and Proposition 4.1, the Iitaka fibration $f : X_c \to \mathbb{P}^1$ makes X_c into an elliptic surface. Namely, the general fiber of f is an elliptic curve. One may find many of the basic properties of elliptic surfaces in the surveys [14] and [18].

In order to understand the geometry of the elliptic surface $f : X_c \to \mathbb{P}^1$, it will be necessary to understand the resolutions of the singular points in $(C_g \times C_g)/\langle \psi_g^{-1} \times \psi_g \rangle$. To do this, we will make use of established facts about surface cyclic quotient singularities and Hirzebruch-Jung resolutions. A brief survey of these can be found in [12, Section 2.4] and a more detailed explanation can be found in [16].

5.0.1. *Surface Cyclic Quotient Singularities and X_c.* Consider the action of the cyclic group $\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$ on $\mathbb{C}^2$ given by

$$(z_1, z_2) \mapsto (\epsilon z_1, \epsilon^a z_2),$$

for some a coprime to r, where ϵ is a primitive r-th root of unity. We denote this action by:

$$\frac{1}{r}(1, a).$$

For coprime integers r and a, the *Hirzebruch-Jung continued fraction* of $\frac{r}{a}$ is the expansion:

$$\frac{r}{a} = b_0 - \cfrac{1}{b_1 - \cfrac{1}{b_2 - \cfrac{1}{b_3 - \frac{1}{\cdots}}}}.$$

The *Hirzebruch-Jung expansion* of $\frac{r}{a}$ is then the sequence

$$[b_0, b_1, b_2, b_3, \dots b_s].$$

Then the minimal resolution of the singularity $\mathbb{C}^2/\frac{1}{r}(1,a)$ consists of a chain of $s+1$ exceptional curves $E_0, E_1, \dots, E_s$ with nonzero intersection numbers $E_i.E_i = -b_i$ and $E_i.E_{i+1} = 1$ [12, Proposition 2.32].

Recall from Section 2 that $C_g \times C_g$ has 9 fixed points under the action of $\psi_g^{-1} \times \psi_g$: five Type I fixed points of the form (P_i, P_j) or (Q,Q) and four Type II fixed points of the form (P_i, Q) or (Q, P_i). The automorphism $\psi_g^{-1} \times \psi_g$ locally acts on the Type I fixed points with weights $(-1,1)$ on points (P_i, P_j) and with weights $(-g, g)$ on the point (Q,Q). It locally acts on the Type II fixed points with weights $(-1, g)$ on points (P_i, Q) and with weights $(-g, 1)$ on points (Q, P_i).

Hence, the Type I singular points of $(C_g \times C_g)/\langle \psi_g^{-1} \times \psi_g \rangle$, meaning those points corresponding to Type I fixed points of $C_g \times C_g$ under the action of $\psi_g^{-1} \times \psi_g$, have Hirzebruch-Jung expansion:

$$\underbrace{[2 : \cdots : 2]}_{(3^c-1)-\text{times}}.$$

Namely the Type I singular points of $C_g \times C_g/\langle \psi_g^{-1} \times \psi_g \rangle$ are DuVal singularities of type A_{3^c-1}. In other words, the minimal resolution of each Type I singular point consists of a chain of $3^c - 1$ rational curves, each with self-intersection -2.

The Type II singular points of $(C_g \times C_g)/\langle \psi_g^{-1} \times \psi_g \rangle$ have Hirzebruch-Jung expansion:

$$[2, g+1].$$

Hence the minimal resolution of each Type II singular point consists of a chain of two rational curves, one with self-intersection -2 and one with self-intersection $-(g+1)$.

5.0.2. *Singular Fibers of $f : X_c \to \mathbb{P}^1$.* We now study in detail the geometry of the elliptic fibration $f : X_c \to \mathbb{P}^1$. In particular, we extensively use Kodaira's classification, in [10] and [11], of the possible singular fibers of an elliptic surface. For a survey of the possible fiber types, see [14, I.4] and [18, Section 4].

As we will see, the two kinds of singular fibers that appear here are the singular fibers of type I_b for $b > 0$ and singular fibers of type I_b^* for $b \geq 0$. Singular fibers of type I_b consist of b smooth rational curves meeting in a cycle, namely meeting with dual graph the affine Dynkin diagram $\tilde{A}_b$. Singular fibers of type I_b^* consist of $b+5$ smooth rational curves meeting with dual graph the affine Dynkin diagram $\tilde{D}_{b+4}$.

Theorem 5.1. *For $c \geq 2$, the elliptic surface $f : X_c \to \mathbb{P}^1$ has $3^c + 2$ singular fibers: one of type $I_{4\cdot 3^c}$ located at 0, one of type $I_{3^c}^*$ located at ∞, and the remaining 3^c of type I_1 and located at the points ζ^i, for ζ a primitive 3^c-th root of unity.*

Proof. We consider again the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_c & \xrightarrow{\quad f \quad} & \mathbb{P}(H^0(X_c, K_{X_c}^{\otimes m})) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ C_g \times C_g & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{P}(H^0(C_g \times C_g, K_{C_g \times C_g}^{\otimes m})) \end{array} \tag{13}$$

As before, the horizontal maps are the Iitaka fibrations associated to $K_{X_c}^{\otimes m}$ and $K_{C_g \times C_g}^{\otimes m}$ for m sufficiently divisible and the rational vertical map on the left is the sequence of blow-ups, blow-downs, and quotients needed to obtain X_c from $C_g \times C_g$. To understand the fibration $f : X_c \to \mathbb{P}^1 \subset \mathbb{P}(H^0(X, K_X^{\otimes m}))$, we thus first would like to understand the composition

$$\alpha : C_g \times C_g \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}(H^0(X, K_X^{\otimes m})).$$



FIGURE 1. The elliptic surface $f : X_c \to \mathbb{P}^1$

Recall from Theorem 3.3 that global sections of $K_{C_g}^{\otimes m}$ are of the form

$$x^a\omega \text{ for } 0 \leq a \leq m(g-1).$$

Observe that the only points of C_g on which the form $x^a\omega$ can vanish are the points

$$P_1 : (x, y) = (0, 1) \text{ and } P_2 : (x, y) = (0, -1)$$

in the coordinate patch on C_g given by $\{y^2 = x^{2g+1} + 1\}$ and the point

$$Q : (u, v) = (0, 0)$$

in the coordinate patch given by $\{v^2 = u^{2g+2} + u\}$. In fact, we have:

$$x^a\omega(P_1) = \begin{cases} dx^m & \text{if } a = 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \tag{14}$$

$$x^a\omega(P_2) = \begin{cases} (-1)^m dx^m & \text{if } a = 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \tag{15}$$

$$x^a\omega(Q) = \begin{cases} (-1)^m & \text{if } a = m(g-1) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{16}$$

From the proof of Theorem 3.2, global sections of $K_{X_c}^{\otimes m}$ correspond to global sections of $K_{C_g\times C_g}^{\otimes m}$ of the form:

$$s_a = x_1^a\omega_1 \times x_2^a\omega_2,$$

for $0 \leq a \leq m(g-1)$. Thus we may view the map $\alpha : C_g \times C_g \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}(H^0(X_c, K_{X_c}^{\otimes m}))$ as the rational map sending:

$$(z_1, z_2) \mapsto [s_0(z_1, z_2) : \cdots : s_{m(g-1)}(z_1, z_2)].$$

Hence we have:

(17)

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha^{-1}([1:0:\cdots:0]) =& (P_1 \times (C_g - Q)) \cup (P_2 \times (C_g - Q)) \cup ((C_g - Q) \times P_1) \cup ((C_g - Q) \times P_2) \\ \alpha^{-1}([0:\cdots:0:1]) =& (Q \times Q) \cup (Q \times (C_g - P_1 - P_2)) \cup ((C_g - P_1 - P_2) \times Q). \end{aligned}$$

Consider the fixed points in $C_g \times C_g$ of the form (P_i, P_j). By (17), we know that the image under α of these points is the point $[1 : 0 : \cdots : 0]$ in $\mathbb{P}(H^0(X_c, K_{X_c}^{\otimes m}))$.

As established in Section 5.0.1, the points (P_i, P_j) are DuVal singularities of type A_{3^c-1} under the action of $\psi_g^{-1} \times \psi_g$. Thus the resolution of each such point consists of a chain of 3^{c-1} rational curves of self-intersection -2. By the diagram (13), for each such point (P_i, P_j), all of these 3^{c-1} rational curves in X_c must get mapped to the point $[1 : 0 : \cdots : 0]$ by the fibration $f : X_c \to \mathbb{P}(H^0(X_c, K_{X_c}^{\otimes m}))$.

Moreover, by (13) in conjunction with (17), since f is a morphism we must have that the strict transforms in X_c of the curves

$$P_1 \times C_g,\ P_2 \times C_g,\ C_g \times P_1, \text{ and } C_g \times P_2$$

also get sent to $[1 : 0 : \cdots : 0]$. Note that the strict transform of $C_g \times P_j$ will intersect the chain of rational curves resolving the singularity $P_i \times P_j$ at one end of the chain and the strict transform of $P_i \times C_g$ will intersect the chain at the other end of the chain.

Now, consider the fixed point (Q, Q) in $C_g \times C_g$. It gets sent to the point $[0 : \cdots : 0 : 1]$ by α. As established in Section 5.0.1, the point (Q, Q) is also a DuVal singularity of type A_{3^c-1} under the action of $\psi_g^{-1} \times \psi_g$. Thus the resolution of (Q, Q) consists of a chain of 3^{c-1} rational curves of self-intersection -2. So, all of these 3^{c-1} rational curves in X get mapped to the point $[0 : \cdots : 0 : 1]$ by the fibration $f : X_c \to \mathbb{P}(H^0(X_c, K_{X_c}^{\otimes m}))$.

Moreover, again by (13) in conjunction with (17), since f is a morphism we must have that the strict transforms in X of the curves

$$Q \times C_g \text{ and } C_g \times Q$$

get sent to $[0 : \cdots : 0 : 1]$ as well. Again, the strict transform of $Q \times C_g$ will intersect the chain of rational curves resolving the singularity (Q, Q) at one end and the strict transform of $C_g \times Q$ will intersect the chain at the other end.

So f sends the strict transforms in X_c of the curves

$$P_1 \times C_g,\ P_2 \times C_g,\ C_g \times P_1, \text{ and } C_g \times P_2 \tag{18}$$

to $[1 : 0 : \cdots : 0]$ and the strict transforms of the curves

$$Q \times C_g \text{ and } C_g \times Q \tag{19}$$

to $[0 : \cdots : 0 : 1]$. Each of the four Type II fixed points in $C_g \times C_g$, namely those of the form either $Q \times P_i$ or $P_i \times Q$, has two of the curves in (18) and (19) passing through it. Observe that these four Type II fixed points are exactly the points on which the rational map $\alpha : C_g \times C_g \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}(H^0(X_c, K_{X_c}^{\otimes m}))$ is not defined.

Now, as established in Section 5.0.1, these Type II singular points have minimal resolution consisting of a chain of two rational curves, one with self-intersection -2 and one with self-intersection $-(g+1)$. Observe that for a Type II point of the form $Q \times P_i$, the strict transform in X_c of the curve $Q \times C_g$ will intersect this chain of rational curves at the end of the (-2)-curve and the strict transform of the curve $C_g \times P_i$ will intersect the chain of rational curves at the end of the $-(g+1)$-curve.

By the adjunction formula, the irreducible curves on X_c contained in a fiber of $f : X_c \to \mathbb{P}^1 \subset \mathbb{P}(H^0(X_c, K_{X_c}^{\otimes m}))$ are exactly those curves $F \subset X_c$ with $K_{X_c}.F = 0$. So, of the two curves in the resolution of a Type II singular point, the curve with self-intersection -2 gets mapped to a point by f and the curve with self-intersection $-(g+1)$ gets mapped to all of $\mathbb{P}^1$ by f.

Since the (-2)-curve in the resolution of each Type II singular point intersects either the curve $Q \times C_g$ or the curve $C_g \times Q$, both of which get sent to the point $[0 : \cdots : 0 : 1]$ by α, we have that f sends these (-2)-curves in the resolutions of the Type II singular points to $[0 : \cdots : 0 : 1]$ as well.

So we have accounted for all of the curves in the resolutions of the singular points of $(C_g \times C_g)/\langle \psi_g^{-1} \times \psi_g \rangle$. In summary, the fiber in X_c of the point $[1 : 0 : \cdots : 0]$ in $\mathbb{P}(H^0(X_c, K_{X_c}^{\otimes m}))$ is a cycle consisting of the four sets of $3^c - 1$ rational curves coming from the resolutions of the points $P_i \times P_j$ together with the four curves in (18). Namely, the fiber under f of $[1 : 0 : \cdots : 0]$ consists of

$$4(3^c - 1) + 4 = 4 \cdot 3^c$$

rational curves. This is a fiber of type $I_{4 \cdot 3^c}$ in Kodaira's classification of the singular fibers of an elliptic surface.

Similarly, the fiber in X_c of the point $[0 : \cdots : 0 : 1]$ consists of the $3^c - 1$ rational curves resolving the singularity $Q \times Q$ together with the curves in (19) and the four (-2)-curves, each from a resolution of a Type II point. Namely, the fiber under f of $[0 : \cdots : 0 : 1]$ consists of a chain of $3^c + 1$ rational curves, where each curve on the ends of the chain has two additional curves coming off it. This is a fiber of type $I_{3^c}^*$.

We now want to identify the singular fibers of f away from the points 0 and ∞ in $\mathbb{P}^1$. Namely we would like to understand the map

$$f : X_c \to \mathbb{P}^1 \subset \mathbb{P}(H^0(X_c, K_{X_c}^{\otimes m}))$$

away from any points on which a global section of $K_{X_c}^{\otimes m}$ vanishes. To do this, we would like to understand the singular fibers of the map

$$\alpha : C_g \times C_g \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}(H^0(X_c, K_{X_c}^{\otimes m}))$$

on the open subset of $C_g \times C_g$ away from the 9 fixed points of the $(\psi_g^{-1} \times \psi_g)$-action. As discussed in Proposition 4.1, on this open subset, the map α may then be thought of as the map:

$$(z_1, z_2) \mapsto (t, t^2, \ldots, t^{m(g-1)}),$$

where $t = x_1(z_1)x_2(z_2)$. The fibers of α on this subset are then the curves $F_t \subset C_g \times C_g$ defined by:

$$(y_1^2 = x_1^{2g+1} + 1,\ y_2^2 = x_2^{2g+1} + 1,\ x_1 x_2 = t),$$

where we are assuming $t \neq 0$. Such curves F_t have Jacobian:

$$\begin{pmatrix} (2g+1)x_1^{2g} & 2y_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & (2g+1)x_2^{2g} & 2y_2 \\ x_2 & 0 & x_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Hence the fiber F_t is singular when $y_1 = y_2 = 0$. When this is the case, then we have $x_1 = \zeta^i$, $x_2 = \zeta^j$, and $t = \zeta^{i+j}$, for some i and j, where ζ is a primitive 3^c-th root of unity.

In other words, if $t \in \mathbb{C}^*$ is any 3^c-th root of unity, then the fiber F_t is singular and has singularities at the points

$$(x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2) = (\zeta^i, 0, \zeta^j, 0)$$

such that $i + j \equiv 0 \mod 3^c$. Namely, the curve F_t has 3^c singular points.

Since the action of $\psi_g^{-1} \times \psi_g$ permutes these 3^c singular points, the image $\bar{F}_t$ of F_t in X is a curve with a single singularity. So $\bar{F}_t$ is then an irreducible singular fiber of the fibration

$$f : X_c \to \mathbb{P}^1 \subset \mathbb{P}(H^0(X_c, K_{X_c}^{\otimes m})).$$

By Kodaira's classification of the singular fibers of an elliptic surface, the fiber $\bar{F}_t$ must, in fact, be a rational nodal curve, namely a fiber of type I_1.

Therefore, in summary, we have identified a singular fiber of type $I_{4\cdot 3^c}$ at the point 0 in $\mathbb{P}^1$, we have identified a singular fiber of type $I_{3^c}^*$ at the point ∞, and we have identified 3^c singular fibers of type I_1, located at the points ζ^i.

We may confirm that these are indeed all of the singular fibers using the following description of the topological Euler number of an elliptic surface in terms its singular fibers. From [5, Proposition 5.16] we have that for a complex elliptic surface $f : S \to C$ with fiber F_v at $v \in C$ having m_v components, we have

$$\chi_{\text{top}}(S) = \sum_{v \in C} e(F_v), \tag{20}$$

where

$$e(F_v) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } F_v \text{ is smooth} \\ m_v & \text{if } F_v \text{ is of type } I_n \\ m_v + 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Now since the surface X_c has irregularity $q = 0$ and geometric genus $p_g = g$, we have

$$\chi_{\text{top}}(X_c) = 12(g + 1) = 6 \cdot 3^c + 6.$$

Considering the fibration $f : X_c \to \mathbb{P}^1$, the $4 \cdot 3^c$ components from the singular fiber of type $I_{4\cdot 3^c}$, the $3^c + 5$ components from the singular fiber of type $I_{3^c}^*$, and the 3^c components from the 3^c singular fibers of type I_1 account for exactly $6 \cdot 3^c + 6$ on the right hand side of Equation (20). Thus, we have verified that we indeed have identified all the singular fibers of the elliptic surface X_c. □

6. The Surface X_c is Extremal

The Mordell-Weil group of an elliptic surface $f : S \to C$ is the group of K-rational points on the generic fiber of f, where $K = \mathbb{C}(C)$. Such an elliptic surface S is called *extremal* if it has maximal Picard rank $\rho(S)$, meaning $\rho(S) = h^{1,1}(S)$, and if it has Mordell-Weil rank $r = 0$.

Corollary 6.1. *The surface $f : X_c \to \mathbb{P}^1$ is an extremal elliptic surface.*

Proof. Following the notation in [18], for the fibration $f : X_c \to \mathbb{P}^1$ and for any $v \in \mathbb{P}^1$, let F_v denote the fiber $f^{-1}(v)$. Let m_v denote the number of components of F_v and let R denote the points of $\mathbb{P}^1$ underneath reducible fibers. Namely, we have

$$R = \{v \in \mathbb{P}^1 \mid F_v \text{ is reducible}\}.$$

The Shioda-Tate formula [18, Corollary 6.13] gives the Picard number $\rho(X_c)$ in terms of both the reducible singular fibers of $f : X_c \to \mathbb{P}^1$ and the rank r of the Mordell-Weil group:

$$\rho(X_c) = 2 + \sum_{v \in R} (m_v - 1) + r. \tag{21}$$

From Theorem 5.1, the elliptic fibration $f : X_c \to \mathbb{P}^1$ has two reducible singular fibers: one of type $I_{4\cdot 3^c}$ at 0 and one of type $I^*_{3^c}$ at ∞. These have $4 \cdot 3^c$ and $3^c + 5$ components respectively. Hence we have:

$$\sum_{v \in R}(m_v - 1) = (4 \cdot 3^c - 1) + (3^c + 4)$$
$$= 5 \cdot 3^c + 3.$$

So then Equation (21) becomes:

$$\rho(X_c) = 5 \cdot 3^c + 5 + r.$$

However we know the Picard number $\rho(X_c)$ of X_c satisfies $\rho(X_c) \leq h^{1,1}(X_c)$.

For an elliptic surface S of geometric genus p fibered over a base curve C of genus q, we have $h^{1,1} = 10p - 8q + 10$ [18, Section 6.10]. Hence, in the case of the surface X_c we have $h^{1,1}(X_c) = 10(g+1) = 5 \cdot 3^c + 5$. Therefore $r = 0$ and $\rho(X_c) = h^{1,1}(X)$. □

7. The Mordell-Weil Group of X_c is $\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$

Since the Mordell-Weil group of the elliptic fibration $f : X_c \to \mathbb{P}^1$ is the group of $\mathbb{C}(\mathbb{P}^1)$-rational points on the generic fiber of f, elements of the Mordell-Weil group determine sections $\mathbb{P}^1 \to X_c$ and so may be viewed as curves in X_c. In order to determine the Mordell-Weil group of $f : X_c \to \mathbb{P}^1$, we thus would like to understand the sections of f as curves in X_c.

Recall that the action of $\psi_g^{-1} \times \psi_g$ on $C_g \times C_g$ has 9 fixed points: 5 of Type I, meaning of the form (P_i, P_j) or (Q, Q), and 4 of Type II, meaning of the form (P_i, Q) or (Q, P_i). Let us denote these four Type II points by:

$$\delta_1 = Q \times P_1,\ \delta_2 = Q \times P_2,\ \delta_3 = P_1 \times Q,\ \delta_4 = P_2 \times Q.$$

We have seen in the proof of Theorem 5.1 that the images in X_c of the points δ_i consist of two rational curves: one with self-intersection -2 and one with self-intersection $-(g+1)$. Let us denote the $-(g+1)$-curves corresponding to δ_1, δ_2, δ_3, and δ_4, respectively, by:

$$\mathcal{C}_1,\ \mathcal{C}_2,\ \mathcal{C}_3,\ \text{and } \mathcal{C}_4.$$

Then we have the following:

Proposition 7.1. *For $c \geq 2$, the elliptic fibration $f : X_c \to \mathbb{P}^1$ has exactly four sections. Viewed as curves in X_c, these sections correspond exactly to the curves $\mathcal{C}_1$, $\mathcal{C}_2$, $\mathcal{C}_3$, and $\mathcal{C}_4$.*

Proof. By Corollary 6.1, the Mordell-Weil group of $f : X_c \to \mathbb{P}^1$ has rank 0. Namely, the fibration $f : X \to \mathbb{P}^1$ has only finitely many sections.

Now suppose we have a section $s : \mathbb{P}^1 \to X_c$ of f. Let us view s as a curve $\overline{s}$ in X_c. So $\overline{s}$ is a rational curve sitting inside of X_c.

If $\overline{s}$ is not one of the rational curves introduced in resolving the singular points of $(C_g \times C_g)/\langle \psi_g^{-1} \times \psi_g \rangle$, then $\overline{s}$ is isomorphic to a curve $\tilde{s}$ in $(C_g \times C_g)/\langle \psi_g^{-1} \times \psi_g \rangle$. Moreover, since $\overline{s}$ corresponds to a section of f, the curve $\overline{s}$ intersects the fiber $F_0 = f^{-1}(0)$ exactly once and intersects the fiber $F_\infty = f^{-1}(\infty)$ exactly once. Hence the preimage $\hat{s}$ of $\tilde{s}$ in $C_g \times C_g$ has at most two points fixed by the action of $\psi_g^{-1} \times \psi_g$. Since $\tilde{s}$ has genus 0, the Riemann-Hurwitz formula yields:

$$2g(\hat{s}) - 2 = 3^c(-2) + (3^c - 1)(l) \quad \text{for} \quad 0 \leq l \leq 2.$$

Simplifying using the bounds on l yields:

$$g(\hat{s}) \leq 1.$$

Namely, we have a morphism $\hat{s} \to C_g \times C_g$, where $\hat{s}$ has genus less than or equal to 1. However this is impossible since any such morphism can be composed with the projection map to get a morphism $\hat{s} \to C_g$, which cannot exist since C_g has genus $g \geq 4$. Hence we have shown that any

section $s : \mathbb{P}^1 \to X_c$ must correspond to one of the rational curves introduced in resolving the singular points of $(C_g \times C_g)/\langle \psi_g^{-1} \times \psi_g \rangle$.

Moreover, using the canonical bundle formula for an elliptic surface, any section $s : \mathbb{P}^1 \to X_c$ considered as a curve in X_c must have self-intersection given by the negative of the geometric Euler characteristic $-\chi(X_c) = -(g+1)$ [18, Corollary 6.9].

However, we have established in the proof of Theorem 5.1 that all of the curves in the resolution of the singular points of $(C_g \times C_g)/\langle \psi_g^{-1} \times \psi_g \rangle$ have self-intersection -2 except for the four curves $\mathcal{C}_1$, $\mathcal{C}_2$, $\mathcal{C}_3$, and $\mathcal{C}_4$ coming from the resolution of the Type II fixed points δ_1, δ_2, δ_3, and δ_4. It thus remains only to verify that these four $-(g+1)$-curves $\mathcal{C}_1$, $\mathcal{C}_2$, $\mathcal{C}_3$, and $\mathcal{C}_4$ indeed give sections of $f : X_c \to \mathbb{P}^1$.

Consider the point $Q \times P_i$ in $C_g \times C_g$. As discussed in the proof of Theorem 3.2, the coordinate v is a local coordinate for C_g near the point Q and the coordinate x is a local coordinate for C_g near the points P_i. So then $\psi_g^{-1} \times \psi_g$ locally acts on the coordinate patch (v_1, x_2) around $Q \times P_i$ with weights $(-g, 1)$. After blowing up the point $Q \times P_i$, the automorphism $\psi_g^{-1} \times \psi_g$ locally acts with weights:

$$(-g, g+1) \text{ and } (g, 1)$$

on the exceptional curve.

Explicitly, if the coordinates of the exceptional curve are $[w_1 : w_2]$, then $\psi_g^{-1} \times \psi_g$ acts on the affine patch having coordinates (v_1, w_2) with weights $(-g, g+1)$ and acts on the affine patch having coordinates (w_1, x_2) with weights $(g, 1)$.

There are two fixed points of this action, corresponding to the points at 0 and at ∞ on the exceptional curve. Blowing up these two points yields local action by $\psi_g^{-1} \times \psi_g$ having weights:

$$(-g, 0),\ (0, g+1),\ (g, g+2),\ \text{and } (-(g+2), 1).$$

Thus observe that the exceptional curve E obtained from blowing up the point $[w_1 : w_2] = [1 : 0]$ is point-wise fixed by the action of $\psi_g^{-1} \times \psi_g$. Namely, the curve E is unaffected by all subsequent blow-ups and quotients involved in producing Y_c from $C_g \times C_g$, aside from the fact that each $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$-quotient multiplies the self-intersection number of E by 3. Hence the image of E in Y_c has self-intersection $-3^c = -(2g+1)$.

One may verify that obtaining the minimal surface X_c from Y_c requires g rounds of contracting (-1)-curves in the chain of curves resolving $Q \times P_i$. At each stage, a (-1)-curve lies adjacent to E in the chain. This is how in the minimal resolution of $Q \times P_i$, the image of E has self-intersection $-(g+1)$.

Now, as discussed in the proof of Theorem 5.1, away from the points at 0 and at ∞ in $\mathbb{P}^1$, a fiber $\bar{F}_t$ of the fibration $f : X_c \to \mathbb{P}^1$ may be viewed as the image in X_c of the curve $F_t \subset C_g \times C_g$ given by:

$$(y_1^2 = x_1^{2g+1} + 1,\ y_2^2 = x_2^{2g+1} + 1,\ x_1 x_2 = t).$$

As we approach the point $Q \times P_i$, we would like to switch coordinates and view this curve F_t as the curve given by:

$$(v_1^2 = u_1^{2g+2} + u_1,\ y_2^2 = x_2^{2g+1} + 1,\ u_1^{-1} x_2 = t).$$

Since we have local coordinates (v_1, x_2) near the point $Q \times P_i$, very close to $Q \times P_i$ we may think of F_t as being given simply by:

$$\gamma(v_1)^{-1} x_2 = t,$$

where $\gamma(v_1)$ is a continuous function in v_1. Namely, very close to $Q \times P_i$ the curve F_t has coordinates given by:

$$(v_1, x_2) = (v_1, t\gamma(v_1)).$$

It follows that the slope of F_t as we approach the point $Q \times P_i$ given by $(v_1, x_2) = (0, 0)$ is:

$$\lim_{v_1 \to 0} \frac{t\gamma(v_1)}{v_1} = 0.$$

Hence the strict transform F_t' of F_t in the blow-up at $Q \times P_i$ intersects the exceptional curve at the point $[w_1 : w_2] = [1 : 0]$. Near this point, we have local coordinates (v_1, w_2), where $x_2 = v_1 w_2$. So very close to the point $[w_1 : w_2] = [1 : 0]$, also given by $(v_1, w_2) = (0, 0)$, the strict transform F_t' is given by $v_1 w_2 = t\gamma(v_1)$.

But we know that the point $[w_1 : w_2] = [1 : 0]$ gets blown up and its exceptional curve is E. Hence the strict transform F_t'' of F_t' after this second blow-up must intersect E. Very close to the point $[w_1 : w_2] = [1 : 0]$, the strict transform F_t' has coordinates given by:

$$(v_1, w_2) = \left(v_1, \frac{t\gamma(v_1)}{v_1} \right).$$

Hence the slope of F_t' as we approach $[w_1 : w_2] = [1 : 0]$ is:

$$\lim_{v_1 \to 0} \frac{t\gamma(v_1)}{v_1^2} = t.$$

Namely, the curve F_t'' intersects E at the point with coordinate t on E. Hence the image $\bar{F}_t$ of F_t'' in X_c intersects the image of E at the point with coordinate t.

So we have shown that a fiber $\bar{F}_t$ of the fibration $f : X_c \to \mathbb{P}^1$ intersects the $-(g+1)$-curves $\mathcal{C}_1$ and $\mathcal{C}_2$ in each of the resolutions of the points $\delta_1 = Q \times P_1$ and $\delta_2 = Q \times P_2$ at the point on $\mathcal{C}_i$-curve with coordinate t. Symmetrically, the same also holds for the intersection of $\bar{F}_t$ with the $-(g+1)$-curves $\mathcal{C}_3$ and $\mathcal{C}_4$ in each of the resolutions of the points $\delta_3 = P_1 \times Q$ and $\delta_4 = P_2 \times Q$. Hence for each $i = 1, \ldots, 4$, the morphism $s_i : \mathbb{P}^1 \to X_c$ given by sending the point t to the point on $\mathcal{C}_i$ with coordinate t defines a section of the fibration $f : X_c \to \mathbb{P}^1$. So we indeed have four sections of f given by the curves $\mathcal{C}_1$, $\mathcal{C}_2$, $\mathcal{C}_3$, and $\mathcal{C}_4$. □

Corollary 7.2. *For $c \geq 2$, the Mordell-Weil group of $f : X_c \to \mathbb{P}^1$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof. From Proposition 7.1, the Mordell-Weil group of X_c has order 4, namely it must be either the cyclic group $\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$ or the group $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. Viewed as curves in X_c, the elements of the Mordell-Weil group correspond to the $-(g+1)$-curves

$$\mathcal{C}_1,\ \mathcal{C}_2,\ \mathcal{C}_3,\ \text{and } \mathcal{C}_4$$

in the resolutions of the four Type II singular points

$$\delta_1 = Q \times P_1,\ \delta_2 = Q \times P_2,\ \delta_3 = P_1 \times Q,\ \delta_4 = P_2 \times Q$$

in $C_g \times C_g / \langle \psi^{-1} \times \psi \rangle$.

Recall from the proof of Theorem 5.1 that each resolution of a Type II singular point δ_i resulted in two curves: the $-(g+1)$-curve $\mathcal{C}_i$ and a (-2)-curve, which we denote by T_i. These curves T_i form the outermost four components of the fiber of f of type I_{3^c}, which is located above ∞.

Now let

$$S_1,\ S_2,\ S_3,\ \text{and } S_4$$

denote the strict transforms in X_c of the curves (respectively):

$$C_g \times P_1,\ C_g \times P_2,\ P_1 \times C_g,\ \text{and } P_2 \times C_g.$$

Then, from the proof of Theorem 5.1, the curves S_i all lie in the fiber of f of type $I_{4 \cdot 3^c}$, which is located above 0. Each curve $\mathcal{C}_i$ intersects the curve S_i.

Now an elliptic surface $f : S \to C$ has a group structure on all of its fibers, not just on its smooth fibers. The group elements in a singular fiber F_v are in correspondence with the components of multiplicity 1 in F_v (see [14, VII.2.6]).

In particular, singular fibers of type I_b have all their components of multiplicity 1 and have group structure $G(I_b) \cong \mathbb{Z}/b\mathbb{Z}$. Singular fibers of type I_b^* have 4 components of multiplicity 1, corresponding to the four extremal components, and have group structure $G(I_b^*) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$ if b is even and $G(I_b^*) \cong \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$ if b is odd [18, Lemma 7.3].

For any such elliptic surface $f : S \to C$, let R denote the set of reducible fibers of f. Then if $MW(S)$ is the Mordell-Weil group of $f : S \to C$, we have, see [18, Corollary 7.5], an injective homomorphism

$$\gamma : MW(S)_{tors} \to \sum_{v \in R} G(F_v),$$

given by sending a section to the respective fiber components that it meets.

Namely, in the case of the elliptic surface $f : X_c \to \mathbb{P}^1$, we have an injection

$$\gamma : MW(X_c) \to \mathbb{Z}/(4 \cdot 3^c)\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z},$$

such that

$$\gamma(\mathcal{C}_i) = (S_i, T_i).$$

Hence, we indeed have $MW(X_c) \cong \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$. □

8. The j-Invariant of $f : X_c \to \mathbb{P}^1$

Following Kodaira [10], for an elliptic surface $f : S \to C$ without multiple fibers, let $j : C \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ be the rational map such that for every $P \in C$ such that $f^{-1}(P)$ is nonsingular, we have $j(P)$ is the j-invariant of the elliptic curve $f^{-1}(P)$. This rational map j can in fact be extended to all of C. The morphism $j : C \to \mathbb{P}^1$ is called the *j-invariant* of the elliptic surface $f : S \to C$. If $P \in C$ is such that $f^{-1}(P)$ is singular, then we have the following (reproduced from [8]):

Fiber Type over P	$j(P)$
I_0^*	$\neq \infty$
I_b, I_b* $(b > 0)$	∞
II, IV, IV^*, II^*	0
III, III^*	1728

Lemma 8.1. *For $c \geq 2$, the j-invariant $j : \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbb{P}^1$ of $f : X_c \to \mathbb{P}^1$ is non-constant.*

Proof. From Theorem 5.1, all of the singular fibers of $f : X_c \to \mathbb{P}^1$ are of type I_b or I_b^* with $b > 0$. Hence the j-invariant of $f : X_c \to \mathbb{P}^1$ satisfies $j(P) = \infty$ for all $P \in \mathbb{P}^1$ such that $f^{-1}(P)$ is singular. However, since generically for $P \in \mathbb{P}^1$ the j-invariant $j(P)$ is the j-invariant of the elliptic curve $f^{-1}(P)$, generically j cannot be ∞. Thus j is non-constant. □

Proposition 8.2. *For $c \geq 2$, the j-invariant $j : \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbb{P}^1$ of $f : X_c \to \mathbb{P}^1$ has degree $6 \cdot 3^c$ and is ramified at the points 0, 1728, and ∞. There are $2 \cdot 3^c$ branch points above 0, all of ramification index 3. There are $3 \cdot 3^c$ branch points above 1728, all of ramification index 2. Finally, there are 2 branch points above ∞, one with ramification index $4 \cdot 3^c$ corresponding to the point $0 \in \mathbb{P}^1$ with singular fiber under f of type $I_{4 \cdot 3^c}$, and one with ramification index 3^c corresponding to the point $\infty \in \mathbb{P}^1$ with singular fiber of type I_{3^c}.*

Proof. This follows directly from results of Mangala Nori in [15]. Since $f : X_c \to \mathbb{P}^1$ is extremal with non-constant j-invariant, it follows from [15, Theorem 3.1] that $j : \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbb{P}^1$ is ramified only over the points 0, 1728, and ∞ and that we have:

$$\deg(j) = \sum_{I_b} b + \sum_{I_b^*} b,$$

where the two sums occur over all the singular fibers of f of type I_b and of type I_b^* respectively.

From Theorem 5.1, the surface X_c has one fiber of type $I_{4 \cdot 3^c}$, a total of 3^c fibers of type I_1, and one fiber of type $I_{3^c}^*$. We thus have:

$$\deg(j) = 6 \cdot 3^c.$$

So indeed the j-invariant of $f : X_c \to \mathbb{P}^1$ has degree $6 \cdot 3^c$. Now let

$$\mathcal{R}_0 = \{v \in \mathbb{P}^1 \mid j(v) = 0\}$$

$$\mathcal{R}_{1728} = \{v \in \mathbb{P}^1 \mid j(v) = 1728\}.$$

Then if e_v denotes the ramification index of a point $v \in \mathbb{P}^1$, let

$$R_0 = \sum_{v \in \mathcal{R}_0} (e_v - 1)$$
$$R_{1728} = \sum_{v \in \mathcal{R}_{1728}} (e_v - 1)$$

Then [15, Lemma 3.2] in conjunction with Theorem 5.1 implies we have the following 3 equations:

$$R_0 + R_{1728} = \frac{7 \cdot \deg(j)}{6} \tag{22}$$

$$R_0 - \frac{2 \cdot \deg(j)}{3} \geq 0 \tag{23}$$

$$R_{1728} - \frac{\deg(j)}{2} \geq 0 \tag{24}$$

Observe that

$$\frac{2 \cdot \deg(j)}{3} + \frac{\deg(j)}{2} = \frac{7 \cdot \deg(j)}{6}.$$

Therefore we must have equality in Equations (23) and (24). Namely, we have

$$R_0 = \frac{2 \cdot \deg(j)}{3} = 4 \cdot 3^c$$

$$R_{1728} = \frac{\deg(j)}{2} = 3 \cdot 3^c.$$

Moreover, because equality holds in (23), Nori's proof in [15, Lemma 3.2] also implies that

$$\deg(j) = 3|\mathcal{R}_0|.$$

Hence we have:

$$|\mathcal{R}_0| = 2 \cdot 3^c. \tag{25}$$

Now from [15, Theorem 3.1], for any $v \in \mathcal{R}_0$, we must have $e_v \leq 3$. Hence using (25),

$$R_0 \leq 4 \cdot 3^c.$$

But we have already shown that in fact equality holds, therefore we have $e_v = 3$ for all $v \in \mathcal{R}_0$.

Since X_c is extremal and $f : X_c \to \mathbb{P}^1$ has no singular fibers of type III^*, Nori's results [15, Theorem 3.1] also imply that $e_v = 2$ for all $v \in \mathcal{R}_{1728}$.

Finally, we know j has a pole of order b_i at points $v_i \in \mathbb{P}^1$ where the fiber over v_i is of type I_{b_i} or of type $I^*_{b_i}$. Hence the result follows from Theorem 5.1. □

9. The Surface X_c is Elliptic Modular

We begin by giving a brief introduction to elliptic modular surfaces as defined by Shioda [20].

9.1. **Preliminaries on Elliptic Modular Surfaces.** Following Nori [15], for an elliptic surface $f : S \to C$ with j-invariant $j : C \to \mathbb{P}^1$, let us define

$$C' = C \backslash j^{-1}\{0, 1728, \infty\}.$$

In particular, for every $v \in C'$, the fiber $F_v = f^{-1}(v)$ is smooth. Hence $S|_{C'} = f^{-1}(C') \to C'$ is a differentiable fiber bundle. Thus, the first homology groups of the fibers of this bundle are a locally constant sheaf on C'. In fact, this sheaf on C' can be extended to all of C [11, page 126]. This sheaf G on the entirety of C is called the *homological invariant* of the elliptic surface S.

Consider the monodromy homomorphism $\rho : \pi_1(C') \to SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ associated to $f : S \to C$. Observe that ρ both determines and is determined by the sheaf G.

Conversely, let $j : C \to \mathbb{P}^1$ be a holomorphic map from an algebraic curve C to $\mathbb{P}^1$ and let $C' = C \backslash j^{-1}\{0, 1728, \infty\}$. Let $\mathcal{H} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \mathrm{Im}(z) > 0\}$ be the upper half-plane in $\mathbb{C}$ and consider the elliptic modular function $J : \mathcal{H} \to \mathbb{P}^1 \backslash \{0, 1728, \infty\}$. Finally let U' be the universal cover of C'. Then there exists a holomorphic map $w : U' \to \mathcal{H}$ such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U' & \xrightarrow{\quad w \quad} & \mathcal{H} \\ \big\downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi} & & \big\downarrow{\scriptstyle J} \\ C' & \xrightarrow{\quad j \quad} & \mathbb{P}^1 \backslash \{0, 1728, \infty\}. \end{array} \tag{26}$$

This map w thus induces a homormphism $\overline{\rho} : \pi_1(C') \to PSL(2, \mathbb{Z})$.

Now suppose we have a homomorphism $\rho : \pi_1(C') \to SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_1(C') & \xrightarrow{\quad \rho \quad} & SL(2, \mathbb{Z}) \\ & {\scriptstyle \overline{\rho}} \searrow \quad \swarrow & \\ & PSL(2, \mathbb{Z}) & \end{array} .$$

Then it is possible to construct a unique elliptic surface $f : S \to C$ having j-invariant given by the holomorphic map $j : C \to \mathbb{P}^1$ and having homological invariant given by the sheaf G associated to the homomorphism ρ [10, Section 8].

So now consider any finite-index subgroup Γ of the modular group $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ not containing $-\mathrm{Id}$. Then Γ acts on the upper half plane $\mathcal{H}$ and the quotient $\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{H}$, together with a finite number of cusps, forms an algebraic curve C_Γ. For any other such subgroup Γ', if $\Gamma \subset \Gamma'$, then the canonical map $\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{H} \to \Gamma' \backslash \mathcal{H}$ extends to a holomorphic map $C_\Gamma \to C_{\Gamma'}$. In particular, taking $\Gamma' = SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ and identifying $C_{\Gamma'}$ with $\mathbb{P}^1$ via the elliptic modular function J, we get a holomorphic map

$$j_\Gamma : C_\Gamma \to \mathbb{P}^1.$$

Hence, as discussed, there exists a $w : U' \to \mathcal{H}$ fitting into a diagram (26) which induces a representation $\overline{\rho} : \pi_1(C') \to \overline{\Gamma} \subset PSL(2, \mathbb{Z})$, where $\overline{\Gamma}$ is the image of Γ in $PSL(2, \mathbb{Z})$. Because Γ contains no element of order 2, this homomorphism $\overline{\rho}$ lifts to a homomorphism $\rho : \pi_1(C') \to SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$, which then gives rise to a sheaf G_Γ on C_Γ.

Definition 9.1. [20] For any finite index subgroup Γ of $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ not containing $-\mathrm{Id}$, the associated elliptic surface $f : S_\Gamma \to C_\Gamma$ having j-invariant j_Γ and homological invariant G_Γ is called the *elliptic modular surface* attached to Γ.

9.2. **The case of X_c.** We now return to considering the elliptic surface $f : X_c \to \mathbb{P}^1$. Recall that we have studied the j-invariant of $f : X_c \to \mathbb{P}^1$ in detail in Proposition 8.2.

Let us define the following elements $A_0, A_1 \ldots, A_{3^c}, A_\infty$ of $SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$ as elements of the following conjugacy classes:

$$A_0 \in \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 4\cdot 3^c \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right] \qquad A_1, \ldots, A_{3^c} \in \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right] \qquad A_\infty \in \left[\begin{pmatrix} -1 & -3^c \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}\right]$$

Then consider the subgroup Γ_c of index $6\cdot 3^c$ in $SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$ with the following presentation:

$$\Gamma_c := \langle A_0, A_1, \ldots, A_{3^c}, A_\infty \mid A_0 A_1 \cdots A_{3^c} A_\infty = \mathrm{Id}\rangle.$$

We remark that Γ_c is not a congruence subgroup as it does not appear on the list in [6] of the genus 0 congruence subgroups of $SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$ (see [4] for more details on such subgroups).

Theorem 9.2. *For $c \geq 2$, the surface X_c is the elliptic modular surface attached to Γ_c.*

Proof. A result of Mangala Nori shows that an extremal elliptic surface $f : S \to C$ with a section and with non-constant j-invariant is an elliptic modular surface as long as $f : S \to C$ has no singular fibers of type II^* or III^* in Kodaira's classification [15, Theorem 3.5]. Therefore, since the surface $f : X_c \to \mathbb{P}^1$ is extremal (by Corollary 6.1), has a section (by Proposition 7.1), has non-constant j-invariant (by Lemma 8.1), and only has fibers of type I_b and I_b^* (by Theorem 5.1), we know X_c is indeed an elliptic modular surface.

So let Γ be the finite-index subgroup of $SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$ attached to X_c. By Proposition 8.2, the degree of the j-invariant of $f : X_c \to \mathbb{P}^1$ is $6\cdot 3^c$. Hence the group Γ has index $6\cdot 3^c$ in $SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$.

Now consider the j-invariant $j : \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbb{P}^1$ of X_c, which we have investigated in Proposition 8.2. Let $C' = \mathbb{P}^1 \backslash \{0, 1728, \infty\}$.

Because X_c is elliptic modular, its j-invariant induces a homomorphism

$$\rho : \pi_1(C') \to \Gamma \subset SL(2,\mathbb{Z}).$$

Let us write the set

$$j^{-1}\{0, 1728, \infty\} = \{v_1, \ldots, v_s\}.$$

By Proposition 8.1, we know $s = 5\cdot 3^c + 2$. For each point v_i let α_i be the loop element in $\pi_1(C')$ going around v_i. Then $\pi_1(C')$ is the free group on these generators $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_s$ subject to the relation (taken in cyclic order) $\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_s = 1$ [3, Lemma 2.1].

Now, by Proposition 8.1 all of the points v_i such that $j(v_i) = 0$ have ramification index 3. Hence by [15, Proposition 1.4], for the corresponding α_i, we have $\rho(\alpha_i) = \pm\mathrm{Id}$. However since X_c is elliptic modular, the subgroup Γ cannot contain $-\mathrm{Id}$. Hence, for all i such that $j(v_i) = 0$, we must have $\rho(\alpha_i) = \mathrm{Id}$.

Similarly, by Proposition 8.1 all of the points v_i such that $j(v_i) = 1728$ have ramification index 2. But then by [15, Proposition 1.4], for all such i, we have $\rho(\alpha_i) = \pm\mathrm{Id}$ and thus, in fact, $\rho(\alpha_i) \in \mathrm{Id}$.

Therefore the only points $v_i \in j^{-1}\{0, 1728, \infty\}$ that contribute non-identity elements to Γ are the points sent to ∞ by j. These are exactly the points of $\mathbb{P}^1$ underneath the singular fibers of $f : X_c \to \mathbb{P}^1$. From [20, Proposition 4.2], if a point v_i has singular fiber of type I_b with $b > 0$, then we have:

$$\rho(\alpha_i) \in \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right].$$

If a point v_i has singular fiber of type I_b^* with $b > 0$, then we have:

$$\rho(\alpha_i) \in \left[\begin{pmatrix} -1 & -b \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}\right].$$

Therefore, using Theorem 5.1, in the case of $f : X_c \to \mathbb{P}^1$, the point 0 contributes a generator A_0 of Γ in the conjugacy class of

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 4\cdot 3^c \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

in $SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$. Each point ζ^i, for ζ a 3^c-th root of unity, contributes a generator A_{i+1} in the conjugacy class of

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Finally, the point ∞ contributes a generator A_∞ in the conjugacy class of

$$\begin{pmatrix} -1 & -3^c \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then Γ is the free group on these generators $A_0, A_1, \ldots, A_{3^c}, A_\infty$ subject to the relation

$$A_0 A_1 \cdots A_{3^c} A_\infty = \mathrm{Id}.$$

Hence we indeed have that Γ is the group Γ_c defined above. □

References

[1] Donu Arapura and Partha Solapurkar. A new class of surfaces with maximal Picard number. `http://arxiv.org/abs/1406.2143`, 2014. 1

[2] Arnaud Beauville. Some surfaces with maximal Picard number. *J. Éc. Polytech. Math.*, 1:101–116, 2014. 1

[3] Fedor Bogomolov and Yuri Tschinkel. Monodromy of elliptic surfaces. In *Galois groups and fundamental groups*, volume 41 of *Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ.*, pages 167–181. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2003. 20

[4] Kok Seng Chua, Mong Lung Lang, and Yifan Yang. On Rademacher's conjecture: congruence subgroups of genus zero of the modular group. *J. Algebra*, 277(1):408–428, 2004. 20

[5] François R. Cossec and Igor V. Dolgachev. *Enriques surfaces. I*, volume 76 of *Progress in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1989. 13

[6] C. J. Cummins and S. Pauli. Congruence subgroups of PSL$(2,\mathbb{Z})$ of genus less than or equal to 24. *Experiment. Math.*, 12(2):243–255, 2003. 20

[7] S. Cynk and K. Hulek. Higher-dimensional modular Calabi-Yau manifolds. *Canad. Math. Bull.*, 50(4):486–503, 2007. 1

[8] Remke Kloosterman. Extremal elliptic surfaces and infinitesimal Torelli. *Michigan Math. J.*, 52(1):141–161, 2004. 17

[9] Bernhard Köck and Joseph Tait. Faithfulness of actions on Riemann-Roch spaces. *Canad. J. Math.*, 67(4):848–869, 2015. 6

[10] K. Kodaira. On compact analytic surfaces. II, III. *Ann. of Math. (2) 77 (1963), 563–626; ibid.*, 78:1–40, 1963. 9, 17, 19

[11] Kunihiko Kodaira. On compact analytic surfaces. In *Analytic functions*, pages 121–135. Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N.J., 1960. 9, 19

[12] Janos Kollár. *Resolution of singularities*. Princeton University Press, 2007. 8, 9

[13] Robert Lazarsfeld. *Positivity in algebraic geometry. I*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004. 7, 8

[14] Rick Miranda. *The basic theory of elliptic surfaces*. Dottorato di Ricerca in Matematica. [Doctorate in Mathematical Research]. ETS Editrice, Pisa, 1989. 8, 9, 16

[15] Mangala Nori. On certain elliptic surfaces with maximal Picard number. *Topology*, 24(2):175–186, 1985. 17, 18, 19, 20

[16] Miles Reid. Surface cyclic quotient singularities and Hirzebruch-Jung resolutions. 2012. `http://www.warwick.ac.uk/masda/surf`. 8

[17] Stefan Schreieder. On the construction problem for Hodge numbers. *Geom. Topol.*, 19(1):295–342, 2015. 1, 3

[18] Matthias Schütt and Tetsuji Shioda. Elliptic surfaces. In *Algebraic Geometry in East Asia—Seoul 2008*, volume 60 of *Adv. Stud. Pure Math.*, pages 51–160. Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 2010. 8, 9, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17

[19] T. Shioda and H. Inose. On singular $K3$ surfaces. In *Complex analysis and algebraic geometry*, pages 119–136. Iwanami Shoten, Tokyo, 1977. 1

[20] Tetsuji Shioda. On elliptic modular surfaces. *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, 24:20–59, 1972. 18, 19, 20

Mathematics Department, University of California, Los Angeles
E-mail address: `lflapan@math.ucla.edu`